

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NEW YORK

UMG RECORDINGS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation; VIRGIN RECORDS AMERICA,  
INC., a California corporation; WARNER BROS.  
RECORDS INC., a Delaware corporation;  
ARISTA RECORDS LLC, a Delaware limited  
liability company; SONY BMG MUSIC  
ENTERTAINMENT, a Delaware general  
partnership; PRIORITY RECORDS LLC, a  
California limited liability company; MOTOWN  
RECORD COMPANY, L.P., a California limited  
partnership; ATLANTIC RECORDING  
CORPORATION, a Delaware corporation; BMG  
MUSIC, a New York general partnership;  
INTERSCOPE RECORDS, a California general  
partnership; ELEKTRA ENTERTAINMENT  
GROUP INC., a Delaware corporation; and  
CAPITOL RECORDS, INC., a Delaware  
corporation,

Plaintiffs,

-against-

DOES 1 - 13,

Defendants.

x

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

:

x

Civil Action No.: 07 CV 3492

**STATUS REPORT**

USD. SDNY  
DOCUMENT  
ELECTRONICALLY FILED  
DOC #:  
DATE FILED: 11/13/07

**STATUS REPORT**

Plaintiffs, through their undersigned counsel, respectfully submit the following Status  
Report:

1. Pursuant to this Court's May 14, 2007 Order granting Plaintiffs' *Ex Parte*  
Application For Leave To Take Immediate Discovery, on May 24, 2007, Plaintiffs served the  
Defendants' Internet Service Provider, Columbia University (the "University"), with a subpoena  
seeking identifying information for each of the Doc defendants in this case.

2. On September 24, 2007, Plaintiffs received a subpoena response from the  
University.

3. In its response to the subpoena, the University was able to identify only one of the 13 Doe defendants in this case, claiming that the University did not have any information regarding the remaining 12 Internet Protocol (“IP”) addresses listed in the subpoena.

4. Because Plaintiffs cannot determine the identity of the Defendant in this case without first obtaining the information requested in the subpoena, Plaintiffs will not be able to pursue their claims against 12 of the 13 Doe defendants in this case unless the University is able to provide additional information in response to the subpoena.

5. Accordingly, beginning shortly after Plaintiffs’ received the University’s subpoena response, Plaintiffs’ counsel contacted the University in an attempt to determine whether the University has additional information sufficient to identify the Doe defendants in this case.

6. As a result of those discussions, Plaintiffs believe that the University may have additional information sufficient to identify the specific users associated with the 12 remaining IP addresses at the date and time of infringement alleged in Plaintiffs’ Complaint.

7. Plaintiffs are in the process of attempting to confer with the University in an effort to determine whether the University has in its possession additional information sufficient to identify the Doe defendants in this case. Plaintiffs have left several messages for the University and spoken with the University on several occasions, but so far have been unable to reach the necessary members of the University’s legal and IT departments on the phone all at the same time.

8. Plaintiffs will continue their efforts to confer with the University and believe they will be able to determine whether the University has additional information responsive to the subpoena within the next two weeks.

9. Once Plaintiffs determine whether the University has additional responsive information, Plaintiffs will dismiss this case.

Dated: New York, New York  
November 8, 2007

Respectfully Submitted,

By  
:

---

Brian E. Moran (BM-8573)  
Richard J. Guida (RG-5147)  
Robinson & Cole LLP  
885 Third Avenue, Suite 2800  
New York, NY 10022-4834  
Telephone: (212) 451-2900  
Fax: (212) 451-2999

The status conference is  
rescheduled for December 5, 2007 @  
10:30 AM.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brian Moran", with a large, stylized flourish at the end.